

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. CR 05-0324 MMC

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 RAYMON D. HILL, et al.,

15 Defendants
16 _____/

**ORDER DENYING WITHOUT
PREJUDICE GOVERNMENT'S MOTION
FOR LEAVE TO EXTEND NOTIFICATION
DEADLINE PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C.
§ 3593(a)**

17 The Court is in receipt of the Government's motion, filed October 30, 2006, for an
18 extension of the November 1, 2006 deadline to provide notice as required under 18 U.S.C.
19 § 3593(a).

20 As all parties are aware, the scheduling of the trial and numerous pretrial matters in
21 this multi-defendant case is dependent upon the Government's filing of the requisite notice
22 with respect to defendants Cyrus and Peterson. Nowhere in said motion, however, does
23 the Government set forth any explanation for the Attorney General's eleventh-hour timing
24 of its notification regarding the need for an extension.

25 Accordingly, the motion is DENIED without prejudice.

26 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

27 Dated: October 31, 2006
28


MAXINE M. CHESNEY
United States District Judge